DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

In the Matter of

RECEIVED MAR 18 1999 Amendment of Section 73.202(b) MM Docket No. 98-198 Table of Allotments FM Broadcast Stations RM - 9304 (Cross Plains, Texas et al.)

To: Chief, Allocations Branch Policy and Rules Division Mass Media Bureau

RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO STRIKE

First Broadcasting Management, L.L.C., WBAP/KSCS Operating, Ltd., Blue Bonnet Radio, Inc., Hunt Broadcasting, Inc., Gain-Air, Inc. and KCYT-FM License Corp. (collectively the "Joint Parties") hereby file their response to the Motion to Strike the Reply to Opposition to the Joint Motion to Strike, and the Motion to Strike the Reply to Motion for Leave to Supplement Record and Second Supplement to Counterproposal, filed in the above-captioned proceeding by Wagonwheel Broadcasting of Santa Anna ("Wagonwheel") on February 24, 1999 and March 8, 1999, respectively. Wagonwheel based each its motions on an incorrect section of the Commission's rules, and therefore improperly claimed that a filing deadline existed for the pleadings filed by the Joint Parties. Contrary to Wagonwheel's position, the Commission's rules do not provide a deadline for the filings objected to by Wagonwheel, and longstanding Commission case law indicates that the Commission may accept such filings at its discretion. In support hereof, the Joint Parties state as follows:

No. of Copies rec'd Off

I. DISCUSSION

- A. Section 1.45(b) of the Commission's Rules Does Not Apply to the Filing of Supplemental Comments in Table of Allotments Rule Making Proceedings.
- 1. Without reference to any Commission precedent, Wagonwheel simply asserts in its filings that Section 1.45(b) of the Commission's Rules, which sets a 5 day deadline for the filing of certain reply pleadings, governs filing deadlines in this proceeding. Based on this notion, and with no support, Wagonwheel then contends that the *Reply to Opposition to Joint Motion to Strike* and the *Reply to Motion for Leave to Supplement Record and Second Supplement to Counterproposal* submitted by the Joint Parties were untimely filed and should therefore be stricken from the record in this proceeding. Wagonwheel is wrong.
- 2. Section 1.45 is the most general of the Commission's rules that deals with the filing deadlines for pleadings. By its terms, it governs the deadlines for submission of pleadings "except as otherwise provided in [47 C.F.R.] "47 C.F.R. § 1.45 (emphasis added). The note following the rule states this same concept in a slightly different manner: "[w]here specific provisions contained in part 1 conflict with this section, those specific provisions are controlling." In short, where a more specific rule provision dealing with filing deadlines exists, as one does for rule making proceedings, the Commission will follow the specific rather than the general rule.
- 3. Because this case is a Table of Allotments rule making proceeding, it is clear that the Commission's rules governing rule making proceedings, contained in Part 1, Subpart C of 47 C.F.R. (§ 1.398 et seq.), would apply. Unlike Wagonwheel's bald assertion, this interpretation of the regulations finds support in the record. The Commission has consistently and without exception evaluated the acceptance of pleadings filed in Table of Allotments proceedings using the procedural

rules governing *rule making* proceedings in Subpart C of Part 1 of 47 C.F.R. and not by the filing period set forth in 1.45(b). *See, e.g., Rapid City, South Dakota*, 5 FCC Rcd 1033, n.1 (1990) (rejecting unauthorized pleadings under 1.415(c)); *Christiansted, Virgin Islands*, 10 FCC Rcd 8078, n.1 (1995); *Fairmont, North Carolina, Andrews, Charleston, Elloree, Estill, Little River, and Sullivan's Island, South Carolina*, 6 FCC Rcd 4285, n.8 (1991); *Bourbon and Columbia, Missouri*, 6 FCC Rcd 250, n.3 (1991); *Brenham, Round Rock, Austin, Caldwell, Belton, Killeen, Brownwood, West Lake Hills, and Temple, Texas*, 3 FCC Rcd 2495, n.4 (1988); *cf. Corinth, Hadley and Queensbury, New York*, 2 FCC Rcd 3316, n.3 (1987). Wagonwheel's argument that Section 1.45 should apply to pleadings filed in this proceeding flatly ignores this well-established practice.²

- B. Section 1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules Indicates That Commission Has the Discretion to Accept Supplemental Comments in a Rule Making Proceeding, Regardless of When Such Pleadings Are Filed.
- 4. Section 1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules reads as follows: "No additional comments may be filed unless specifically requested *or authorized* by the Commission." 47 C.F.R. § 1.415(d) (emphasis added). The plain language of this regulation indicates that the Commission

^{1.} In <u>Corinth</u>, <u>supra</u>, the Commission noted that the original reply period set forth in the NPRM was automatically extended to a later reply period established by the Public Notice which announced the acceptance of a Counterproposal. Here, the Public Notice for the Joint Parties Counterproposals has not yet been issued. Thus, there will be another opportunity for additional pleadings. Accordingly, the record here is not yet closed and all supplemental pleadings can still be considered as a matter within the Commission's discretion.

^{2.} It is not unusual for the Commission to have separate filing deadlines for rule makings which do not follow the general rule for <u>non</u> rule making proceedings. For example, Section 1.106 of the Commission's Rules addresses the filing of petitions for reconsideration generally, while Section 1.429 sets specific rules for such filings in rule making proceedings.

retains the discretion to authorize and accept the filing of supplemental comments, such as the Joint Parties' filings, in a rule making proceeding.

- 5. Section 1.415 mandates no deadline for the filing of such supplemental comments. Other subsections of this rule specify the existence of deadlines for the filing of comments and reply comments, see § 1.415(b), (c). Nowhere does the rule set time limits on the filing of other pleadings. Reading Section 1.415 as a whole leads to the conclusion that the Commission intended to create deadlines for the filing of certain types of pleadings, but decided against setting deadlines for the filing of other types of pleadings.
- One recent Table of Allotments decision clearly demonstrates the discretion allowed by the Commission where the acceptance of supplemental pleadings in rule making proceedings is concerned. In Healdton, Oklahoma and Krum, Texas; Pauls Valley and Healdton, Oklahoma, 14 FCC Rcd _____, (released March 12, 1999) MM Docket Nos. 98-50, 98-75 (DA 99-493), the Allocations Branch chose not to accept supplemental comments filed by the petitioner in one of the two combined dockets. See id. n.2. The same petitioner filed largely the same supplemental comments in the other docket and the Branch chose to accept them because "the proposal is unopposed and in the interest of having before us a complete record." Id. n.4. In short, the Commission, or in this case, the Branch, may accept supplemental comments whenever it believes that doing so will assist in resolving the proceeding. The timing of such filings is irrelevant.³

^{3.} Even if the Commission contravenes its long-established practice and finds that Section 1.45 does apply, which it should not, the Commission should give the joint parties the benefit of the longer filing deadline in Part 1, Subpart C. Where good faith confusion exists concerning a filing deadline, the Commission allows the parties the longer opposition and reply time periods. For example, in Metropolitan Fiber Systems, Order on Reconsideration, 12 FCC (continued...)

- C. The Joint Parties Had No Involvement with the Decision by ALALATEX to Withdrawal its Expression of Interest from this Proceeding; Such Withdrawals Are Specifically Contemplated by the Commission's Rules, and Do Not Constitute an Abuse of Process
- 7. In its *Motion*, Wagonwheel also objects to the decision by ALALATEX to withdraw its expression of interest in the Cross Plains, TX allotment. Although its precise objection is less than clear, Wagonwheel appears to contend that ALALATEX's "sudden disappearance" from the proceeding "suggests [a] pattern of abuse" of the Commission's processes, and even more oddly, Wagonwheel seems to contend that the Joint Parties had some connection to the withdrawal.
- 8. As an initial matter, the Joint Parties wish to state, as they have stated in a previous pleading, that they have had no communication with ALALATEX concerning the latter's withdrawal from this proceeding, and did not have any involvement with ALALATEX's decision to withdraw. Wagonwheel provides no argument or evidence to support this claim. Wagonwheel's carefully worded implication that any of the Joint Parties had some involvement in the withdrawal without providing even a scintilla of evidence is unprofessional and irresponsible. Such unsupported contentions place doubt on Wagonwheel's credibility.

^{3. (...}continued)

Rcd 6901 (1997), petitioner Metropolitan Fiber Systems ("MFS") filed a petition for reconsideration under Section 1.429, which governs such filings in rule making proceedings. The Commission concluded that MFS should have filed under Section 1.106, which allows 10 days for the filing of replies, rather than the 15 days permitted under Section 1.429. Even so, the Commission found that MFS' error was harmless, and stated that "[a]ny opposition or reply filed in this proceeding is considered timely filed, provided that, such pleadings complied with the time periods for Section 1.429" Therefore, since the Joint Parties made a good faith effort to determine whether there was a filing deadline and found no precedent for applying Section 1.45, the Commission should allow the Joint Parties the same latitude that was given Metropolitan Fiber Systems in the cited case.

9. Although the Joint Parties had nothing to do with the ALALATEX withdrawal, they would like to offer some comments on the propriety of that withdrawal, since Wagonwheel has raised the issue. Wagonwheel's claim that the ALALATEX withdrawal is somehow "indicative of abuse" ignores the fact that Section 1.420(j) of the Commission's rules sets the requirements for effecting exactly this type of withdrawal. Wagonwheel has presented no evidence that ALALATEX's withdrawal failed to meet these requirements. If a Commission rule exists governing withdrawals of expressions of interest in Table of Allotments rule makings, and ALALATEX complied with that rule, where is the abuse? The only reasonable answer to this question is in Wagonwheel's very active imagination.

II. CONCLUSION

10. For the reasons stated above, the Joint Parties hereby request that the Commission DENY Wagonwheel's *Motion to Strike*, and include in the record for this proceeding the *Reply to Opposition to Joint Motion to Strike* and the *Reply to Motion for Leave to Supplement Record and Second Supplement to Counterproposal* filed by the Joint Parties.

Respectfully submitted,

FIRST BROADCASTING MANAGEMENT, LLC WBAP/KSCS OPERATING, LTD. BLUE BONNET RADIO, INC. HUNT BROADCASTING, INC.

By:

Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP4

600 14th Street, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 783-8400

Their Counsel

GAIN-AIR, INC.

By:

Matthew H. McCormick

1/2 Coinich (by HNI) Reddy Begley & McCormick

2175 K Street, NW

Suite 350

Washington, DC 20037-1803

(202) 659-5700

Its Counsel

Please note the office address change for future service of pleadings. 4.

KCYT-FM LICENSE CORP.

By:

Roy R. Russo Cohn & Marks 1920 N Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20036-1622

(202) 452-4830

Its Counsel

March 18, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa Balzer, a secretary in the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon, do hereby certify that I have on this 18th day of March, 1999 caused to be mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing "Response to Motions to Strike" to the following:

- * Kathleen Scheuerle
 Federal Communications Commission
 Allocations Branch
 2000 M Street, NW
 Room 556
 Washington, DC 20554
- * Robert Hayne, Esq.
 Federal Communications Commission
 Allocations Branch
 2000 M Street, NW
 Room 555
 Washington, DC 20554

KZDF License Co., L.L.C. Station KZDF(FM) 1436 Auburn Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95818

Erwin G. Krasnow, Esq.
Verner, Liipfert, Bernard, McPherson & Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005-2301
(Counsel to Graham Newspaper, Inc., Station KWKO, Graham, Texas)

Baylor University
Station KWBU(FM)
William D. Hills, M.D.
Vice President of Student Affairs
B.U. Box 7368
Waco, TX 76798

Chuckie Broadcasting, Co. Station KYNZ(FM) Mr. Kenneth Taishoff, President P.O. Box 1609 Ardmore, OK 73402

Watts Communications, Inc.
Stations KXYL-FM and KSTA-FM
Mr. Phil Watts, President
P.O. Box 100
Brownwood, TX 76804-0100
(Licensee of KXYL(FM))

Equicom, Inc. Station KBAL-FM 7103 Pine Bluffs Trail Austin, TX 78729

KZDL License Co., L.L.C. Station KZDL(FM) 1436 Auburn Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95815

Durant Broadcasting Corp. Station KBLC(FM) 1418 North First Durant, OK 74701

Pat-Tower, Inc. Station KVRW(FM) Mr. Arthur Patrick, President 6210 N.W. Oak Lawton, OK 73505

* HAND DELIVERED

John Joseph McVeigh, Esq. 12101 Blue Paper Trail Columbia, MD 21044-2787 (Counsel to M & M Broadcasters, Ltd. Station KWOW, Clifton, Texas and Foxcom, Inc.)

Gary S. Smithwick, Esq. Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 1990 M Street, NW Suite 510 Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel to Tyler Broadcasting)

Jean Hill
ALALATEX
6101 Bayou Road
Mobile, AL 36605
(Petitioner for Cross Plains, TX)

M. Scott Johnson, Esq.
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, NW
East Tower
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
(Counsel to Robert S. Sullins, licensee of Station KMAD)

Lawrence N. Cohn, Esq.
Cohn and Marks
1920 N Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel to Kent S. Foster, proponent for Mason, TX)

William J. Pennington, Esq. P.O. Box 403 Westfield, MA 01086 (Counsel to Great Plains Radiocasting, proponent for Detroit, TX Todd Gray, Esq.
Dow Lohnes & Albertson, P.L.L.C.
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel to University of North Texas)

Robert J. Buenzle, Esq. 12110 Sunset Hills Road Suite 450 Reston, VA 20190-3223 (Counsel for Sonoma Media Corporation)

Rob Dean, President Gulfwest Broadcasting Company 300 Pebblebeach Portland, TX 78374

Living World Church of Brownwood, Inc. Station KPSM P.O. Box 1522 Brownwood, TX 76804

Anne Goodwin Crump, Esq.
Fletcher Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.
1300 North 17th Street
11th Floor
Rosslyn, VA 22209-3801
(Counsel for Jason Fritz)

Lee J. Peltzman, Esq. Shainis & Peltzman, Chartered 1901 L Street, NW Suite 290 Washington, DC 20036-3506 (Counsel to BK Radio)

KVWC, Inc.
Box 1419
200 E. Wilbarger
Vernon, TX 76384
(Licensee of KVWC(FM))

John F. Garziglia, Esq. Patricia M. Chuh, Esq. Pepper & Corazzini, L.L.P. 1776 K Street, NW Suite 200

Washington, DC 20006 (Counsel for K95.5, Inc.,

licensee of Station KITX, Hugo, OK and proponent for Antlers, OK)

Cumulus Licensing Corp. 111 E. Kilbourn Avenue

Suite 2700

Milwaukee, WI 53202

(Licensee of KQXC(FM) and KYYI(FM))

KWFS-FM Sub, L.P. 6 Whitfield Drive

Lafayette Hill, PA 19444 (Licensee of KWFS(FM))

Peter Gutmann, Esq.
Pepper & Corazzini, L.L.P.
1776 K Street, NW
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20006

(Counsel to KWFS-FM Sub, L.P.)

Harold Cochran HC-69, Box 11 Kingston, OK 73439 (Licensee of KDDQ(FM))

George S. Flinn, Jr. 188 South Bellevue #222 Memphis, TN 38104 (Applicant for Alva, Oklahoma)

Ballard Broadcasting of Oklahoma, Inc. 4410 10th Street Lubbock, TX 79476 (Licensee of KHKC(FM)) Monroe-Stephens Broadcasting, Inc. 115 W. Broadway Anadarko, OK 73005 (Licensee of KKEN(FM) and KRPT(FM))

Lauren A. Colby, Esq. P.O. Box 113

Frederick, MD 21705-0113

(Counsel to Monroe-Stephens Broadcasting,

Inc.)

Ann Bavender, Esq.

Fletcher Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.

1300 North 17th Street

11th Floor

Rosslyn, VA 22209-3801 (Counsel to Oara, Inc.)

John Griffith Johnson, Jr.

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, L.L.P.

1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004-2400

(Counsel to Cumulus Licensing Corp.)

Timothy K. Brady, Esq.

7113 Peach Court

Suite 208

Brentwood, TN 37027-0986

(Counsel to Chuckie Broadcasting)

Contours, Inc.

3703 Southborough Road Florence, SC 29501

(Applicant for Dickson, Oklahoma)

Redwood Broadcasting, Inc.

P.O. Box 3463 Carefree, AZ 85377

(Applicant for Dickson, Oklahoma)

Stephen C. Simpson, Esq. 1090 Vermont Avenue, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005

(Counsel to George S. Flinn, Jr.)

Joseph E. Dunne, III 150 E. Ninth Street Suite 300 Durango, CO 81301 (Counsel to Ballard Broadcasting)

M & M Broadcasters, Ltd. 919 N. Main Cleburne, TX 76033 (Licensee of KWOW(FM))

Oara, Inc.
P.O. Box 11196
College Station, TX 77842
(Applicant for Dickson, Oklahoma)

Frances Beane 7912 Joliet Lubbock, TX 79423 (Applicant at Snyder, Texas)

Highland Broadcasters P.O. Box 628 Lake Havasu City, AZ 86405 (Applicant at Snyder, Texas)

Henry E. Crawford, Esq.
Law Offices of Henry E. Crawford
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036
(Counsel to Wagonwheel Broadcasting of Santa Anna)

Rolling Plains Broadcasting Corp. Box 1118 1406 N. First Haskell, TX 79521 (Station KVRP, Haskell, Texas)

Delbert Foree 2800 34th Street Snyder, TX 79549 (Applicant at Snyder, Texas)

Harry C. Martin, Esq. Fletcher Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C. 1300 North 17th Street 11th Floor Rosslyn, VA 22209-3801

Robert Healy, Esq. Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C. 1990 M Street, NW Suite 510 Washington, DC 20036

Lisa M. Balzer